

| | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/712,866 | BREWER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Matthew J. Smith | 3672 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Abandoned

 (1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.

 (3) Kausik Sriram, attorney spoke to.

 (2) William E. Schmidt, attorney listed.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 March 2006
Time: 11:55 E
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n.a.

Claims discussed:

n.a.

Prior art documents discussed:


n.a.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Smith contacted Mr. Schmidt 14 March 2006 and asked if a response to the 17 August 2005 Office action has been submitted. Mr. Sriram replied no response filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Jennifer H. Gay
 Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)